### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL NO. 16-2738 (FLW) (LHG) JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN

HELENE STOPCYNSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.; IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC. F/K/A LUZENAC AMERICA, INC.; AND PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL F/K/A COSMETIC, TOILETRY, AND FRAGRANCE ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil Action No.: 3:17-cv-11012

Case Management Order No. 8

Case Name: Deanna Valle, et al. v.

Johnson & Johnson, et al.

Docket No.: 3:17-cv-798

### SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

## **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES**

# <u>Identification of Plaintiff(s)</u>

Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s): <u>Helene Stopynski</u>
At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of <u>Hamtramck, MI</u>
Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: $\underline{N/A}$ .
Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:
Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder
product(s) related death:
Plaintiff/Decedent was born on <u>04/10/1967</u> and died on <u>N/A</u>
Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the N/A of the
, having been duly appointed as the
, having occir dury appointed as the
by theCourt of
by the Court of
by theCourt of  As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and
by theCourt of  As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products.
by theCourt of  As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:
by theCourt of  As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:
by theCourt of  As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:  injury to herself injury to the person represented

		_ loss of services
		loss of consortium
		_ other:
	Identification	of Defendants
8.		ecedent Plaintiff(s) is/are using the following Defendant(s) (please check all
<b>.</b>	that apply) <sup>1</sup>	recent Flamming) is are using the following Defendant(s) (preuse effect an
		Johnson & Johnson
	•	
	✓	Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
	✓	Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")
	✓	Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")
	Additional I	Defendants:
		Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify):
		JURISDICTION & VENUE
	Jurisdiction:	
9.	Jurisdiction in	this Short Form Complaint is based on:
	✓	Diversity of Citizenship
		Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in
	sufficient det	ail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure).
	Venue:	
	<sup>1</sup> If additional C	Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

10. District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: <u>United</u>

States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan

### **CASE SPECIFIC FACTS**

11.	Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): <u>Hamtramck, MI</u>
12.	At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury,
	Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): <u>Hamtramck, MI</u>
13.	The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City,
	State): <u>Detroit, MI</u> on <u>12/01/2013</u> (date).
14.	To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder
	product(s) on or about the following date: <u>01/01/1988</u> and continued the use
	of talcum powder product(s) through about the following date: <u>01/01/2010</u>
15.	The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following State(s):

- 16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
  - ✓ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
  - □ Shower to Shower

Michigan

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

- 17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):

- ✓ Count I: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count II: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count III: Products Liability Strict Liability –
  Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count IV: Products Liability Strict Liability –
  Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the
  Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of Michigan (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
- ✓ Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson

Defendants)
Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or
State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine
(9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the
extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and
allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a
manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure.
Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: November 3, 2017 Respectfully Submitted by,

GOLDENBERGLAW, PLLC

By: /s/ Stuart L. Goldenberg
Stuart L. Goldenberg (MN #0158719)
Noah C. Lauricella (MN #397896)
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2150
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 333-4662 (Tel)
(612) 367-8107 (Fax)
nclauricella@goldenberglaw.com
slgoldenberg@goldenberglaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF